UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	0 N 4 07 1 00000 500
	Sherlon Anthony Henderson Defendant	Case No. 1:07-mj-00303-ESC
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reference of t	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Findings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mo	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ore.
	an offense for which the maximum sentence i	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 te or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but i a minor victim the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	_	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable prese person or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anoth ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
√ (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es defendant's appearance and the safety of the common statement of the	tablished by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the nunity.
		ive Findings (B)
, ,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	
		f the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to condant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	ontest detention at this time. I to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	Part III – Directio	ns Regarding Detention

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 24, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	